## The Validity of A New Practical Quality of Life Measure in Patients on Renal Replacement Therapy

Areewan Cheawchanwattana MPH\*, Chulaporn Limwattananon MPharm, MSc, PhD\*, Cynthia Gross MPhil, PhD\*\*, Supon Limwattananon MPHM, PhD\*, Viroj Tangcharoensathien MD, PhD\*\*\*, Cholatip Pongskul MD\*\*\*\*, Dhavee Sirivongs MD\*\*\*\*

\* Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Khon Kaen University, Thailand
 \*\* College of Pharmacy, University of Minnesota, USA
 \*\*\* International Health Policy Program, Ministry of Public Health, Thailand
 \*\*\*\* Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand

**Objective:** A new quality of life measure, a part of the National Health and Welfare 2003 survey, is a promising tool for outcome evaluation of clinical practice due to its brevity, validity, reliability, and providing easy interpretation against general population norm-based scores. The measure consisting of 9-items, and so called 9-item Thai Health status Assessment Instrument (9-THAI) was used to assess its validity and reliability in patients on renal replacement therapy (RRT).

Material and Method: Three hundred and two patients on RRT who visited Srinagarind Hospital from March to May 2005 were studied. Convergent and divergent validity were assessed using SF-36 as the concurrent measure. Concurrent validity was also assessed using hematocrit level and hospitalization history in the last year as concurrent clinical measures. Test-retest reliability was studied by repeated measure within one month. Responsiveness of 9-THAI was studied in patients who reported health improvement.

**Results:** Results of correlations between 9-THAI and SF-36 domains were as hypothesized. 9-THAI scores were significantly correlated with hematocrit level and hospitalization history. The results confirmed the validity of 9-THAI for use as a quality of life measure. Intraclass correlation coefficients of 9-THAI scores in stable patients were satisfactory. Among patients on RRT who reported overall health improvement, 9-THAI scores significantly increased, thus adding further evidence of the responsiveness of 9-THAI.

**Conclusion:** The 9-THAI is a valid and reliable generic health status measure that can be used as an ideal core in a battery of quality of life measures in clinical practice for patients on RRT.

**Keywords:** Health-related quality of life, Quality of life, Generic health status measure, Renal replacement therapy, SF-36, Validity, Reliability, Responsiveness

J Med Assoc Thai 2006; 89 (Suppl 2): S207-17 Full text. e-Journal: http://www.medassocthai.org/journal

Patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) struggle for survival from the deterioration of their health as consequences of the disease. At present, dialysis and kidney transplantation (KT) modalities are the effective renal replacement therapy (RRT) for prolonging ESRD patients' lives. The patients usually experience uncomfortable and unpleasant conditions as consequences of the therapy especially dialysis mo-dalities. Patient-centered outcomes such as physical activities, psychological and social well-being should be a primary concern by health care providers. Moreover, the outcomes should be longitudinally monitored as a part of quality of care in these patients<sup>(1)</sup>.

Several terms have been used as patientcentered outcomes and 'Quality of life' (Qol). Qol is the most well-known and widely used term. Because the

Correspondence to : Sirivongs D, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand. Phone: 043-363-100, Fax: 043-347-108, E-mail: dhavee@kku.ac.th

term 'Qol' was considered to be too comprehensive and included environmental aspects that were not considered a medical point of view, the authors suggest using the term 'health status' instead<sup>(2)</sup>. Unlike clinical or laboratory information, patient-centered outcome measures are more subjective and should be directly reported by patients. Instruments for assessing health status are usually designed as self-reported multi-item questionnaires due to their subjective nature and wide range of dimensions. The need of patient-centered outcome evaluation is recognized, however the burden of tool administration should be of concern. Thus, a practical measure for use in clinical practice should be simple, quick to complete, easy to score, and providing useful clinical data<sup>(3)</sup>.

In 2003, the National Statistical Office (NSO) of Thailand revolutionized the health survey, a part of its National Health and Welfare Survey. The joint committee of the NSO and the International Health Policy Program (IHPP), an office under the Ministry of Public Health of Thailand, was established to revise the survey content, and one of its tasks was the development of a new Thai generic health status measure. The measure was developed by comprehensive review of available health status measures. It was designed to be short, understandable to lay persons with limited education, and consistent with Thai cultural values. The final version included 9 items, and the so called 9-item Thai Health status Assessment Instrument (9-THAI). It has been found that 9-THAI is able to distinguish different health status in a Thai population<sup>(4)</sup>. The major advantage of 9-THAI over other instruments is that the National Health and Welfare 2003 survey data provided mean and standard deviation of general population for deriving norm-based scores. The norm-based scores are useful as they provide interpretation of derived scores against the average general population.

Though there was evidence of the validity of 9-THAI in general population, however its validity in specific disease groups should be examined before future applications. The main objective of the present study was to verify the validity, reliability and responsiveness of 9-THAI in patients on RRT.

#### **Material and Method**

Convergent and divergent validity was assessed by comparing it with the Short Form 36 Health Survey (SF-36)<sup>(5)</sup>, one of the most widely used generic health measures<sup>(6)</sup>. For convergent validity, it was hypothesized that a positive correlation would be found between domains or components of the two instruments that measure similar constructs, for example a positive correlation between the mobility domain of 9-THAI and the physical functioning domain of SF-36. For divergent validity, it was hypothesized that a low correlation would be found between domains or components of the two instruments that measure different constructs such as the mobility domain of 9-THAI and the mental health domain of SF-36.

Two concurrent clinical measures of health status, hematocrit level and hospital admission in the last year were used for assessing concurrent validity. Since the evidence of an association between anemia and cognition decrement was reported<sup>(7)</sup>, it was hypothesized that hematocrit level would be positively associated with 9-THAI mental scores that consist of cognition domain. The evidence of an association between SF-36 physical component scores and hospitalization was reported<sup>(8)</sup>, thus this hypothesis was also proposed.

Two measures were taken approximately one month apart for assessing test-retest reliability of 9-THAI. At the second assessment, patients were asked whether their health was stable, worse or better. Patients with stable health were used to assess test-retest reliability of the measure, while data from patients with health improvement were used to assess responsiveness.

#### **Participants**

The present study was conducted at Srinagarind Hospital where the target population for applying the measure in future studies was located. The protocol of the present study was approved by the ethics committee of Khon Kaen University.

Participants were ESRD patients who received KT or were maintained on hemodialysis (HD) or continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis (CAPD) for more than 3 months, and visited the hospitalout- patient unit during the period from March to May 2005. Only patients on regular follow up were studied. Patients who visited by irregular schedule with problems such as peritonitis, graft losing were considered unstable conditions and were excluded. Patients with hearing impairments or speaking problems were excluded. Patients were informed of the study details and were asked to give written informed consent, those who refused to participate were not included.

#### Measures

The study questionnaire consisted of 9-THAI, and SF-36 Thai version<sup>(9)</sup>. Patient demographic data,

self-reported chronic diseases, and hospital admission in the last year were also recorded. Creatinine and hematocrit levels of patients who were on CAPD or received KT were obtained from their medical records of that visit. Post dialysis creatinine and hematocrit levels of patients who were on HD and KT waiting list were obtained from their follow up forms. The forms were reported by dialysis staffs at their regular dialysis units.

The 9-THAI is composed of seven domains and two global health ratings. The 7 domains enable subjects to rate their experiences with health problems during the last month including 'mobility', 'self care', 'usual activities', 'illness/discomfort', 'anxiety/depressed', 'cognition', and 'social functions'. Response choices for the 7 domains are rated according to perceived severity of the problems on a 5-point scale: 1 = very severe, 2 = severe, 3 = moderate, 4 = mild, and 5 =not at all. The first global question was to compare subject's health at present with that of the last year. The second was to compare his/her health with that of others who have similar age, gender, social & economic status, type of employment, and living style. Response choices for the 2 global questions are 1 = much worse, 2 = a little bit worse, 3 = the same as, 4 = a little bit better, and 5 = much better. The scores of all items were coded such that higher scores reflect better health. Appendix 1 shows the questions in detail. The preliminary analysis of the National Health and Welfare Survey 2003 data using confirmatory factor analysis indicated that the 4 domains ('mobility', 'self care', 'usual activities', 'illness/ discomfort') measure physical constructs, and the 3 domains ('anxiety/ depressed', 'cognition', 'social functions') measure mental constructs<sup>(10)</sup>. The results suggested that the standardized T scores<sup>(11)</sup> of summated physical and mental scores could be used to represent the two higher-order constructs for easy interpretation of scores against general population norms. Means and standard deviations of specific gender and age groups were used to calculate the scores, an example of transformation formula is as follows.

Standardized T score of summated physical score for group of female age 15-19 year:

Standardized T	50 + [10 x (mobility + self care + usual
score of summated =	activities + illness - 19.87330)]
physical score	0.7174588

The transformed standardized T summated physical and mental scores were called PHY and MEN, respectively in the present study. The SF-36 is composed of 35 items assessing 8 domains of health and one health transition item (RHT). Eight domains are physical functioning (PF), role-physical (RP), bodily pain (BP), general health (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role-emotional (RE), and mental health (MH). Four domains including PF, RP, BP, and GH form a higher-order physical component summary score (PCS), while VT, SF, RE, and MH form a higher-order mental component summary score (MCS)<sup>(5,12)</sup>.

Participants were interviewed by well-trained interviewers that were prior field-tested with normal volunteers. The sequence of 9-THAI and SF-36 administration was randomized. To assess test-retest reliability and responsiveness of 9-THAI, participants were re-interviewed by phone using only 9-THAI within approximately one month after the first interview. To classify patients into subsets providing data for the test-retest or the responsiveness analysis, patients were asked that 'compared to the last month, their health today was worse, same or better'.

#### Data analysis

Descriptive statistics and univariate analysis were appropriately applied according to measurement levels and data distribution. P-value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Spearman rank correlation coefficients between domains and higher-order construct scores of 9-THAI and SF-36 were used to examine convergent and divergent validity of the measures. Multiple linear regression was used to address the association of PHY, MEN, and hematocrit level adjusting for major contributing factors including gender, age, education, diabetes, creatinine level, dialysis modalities and hospital admission. Logistic regression was used to address the association of PHY, MEN, and hospital admission adjusting for the same set of major contributing factors and hematocrit level. For assessing test-retest reliability of the measure, data of stable patients were used to analyze the intraclass correlation coefficients of PHY, MEN from the two assessments. For assessing responsiveness, PHY, MEN data from patients who reported improvement on the health transition were used to calculate effect size, standardized response mean, and Guyatt's responsiveness index<sup>(11,13)</sup>. Analyses were performed using SPSS for Windows.

#### Results

Three hundred and two patients participated, 133 patients received KT, 62 patients were on CAPD, and 107 patients were on HD. Health status demographic characteristics of patients on CAPD were significantly lower than other groups. Patients on CAPD were older, had lower education, having diabetes comorbidity and more report of hospitalization history in the last year (Table 1). The average creatinine level of patients on KT was significantly lower than that of dialysis patients, and the average hematocrit level of patients on KT was significantly higher than that of dialysis patients. These results were expected as KT usually results in more favorable clinical outcomes.

Percentage of patients on CAPD who reported no problem were significantly lowest in 4 domains of 9-THAI ('mobility', 'self care', 'usual activities', and 'illness/discomfort'), and the average PHY score of these patients was also lowest. Percentage of patients on KT who reported no problem in 'social functions' (9-THAI) domain was the highest by a significant margin. Percentage of patients on CAPD who reported no problem in 'anxiety/ depressed' (9-THAI) domain was slightly higher than that of two groups but no statistical significance was found. Percentages of patients who reported no problem in 'cognition' domain were similar. The average MEN score of HD group was lowest, and this resulted from low percentages of patients who reported no problem in 'anxiety/ depressed' & 'social functions' (9-THAI) domains. The low physical health status of patients on CAPD was consistently measured by SF-36 with low average scores in 4 physical domains (PF, RP, BP, GH) and a low average PCS. Results of mental aspect as measured by the two measures differed in 2 domains, SF & MH. While 'social functions' (9-THAI) of KT group was better than others, however average SF (SF-36) scores of 3 groups were equal. For MH (SF-36) domain that consists of an anxiety question, the average MH score of KT group was highest among 3 groups, and these results differed from 'anxiety/depressed' (9-THAI) domain previously explained. The average MCS (SF-36) of HD was lowest, the result was consistent with the MEN (9-THAI). Since PHY, MEN, PCS, MCS scores of 50 mean equal to general population health status, scores of lower or higher than 50 mean lower or higher health status than general population. Thus, health status of patients on RRT was lower than the general population, and this supported the construct validity of both measures.

# Convergent and divergent validity: associations with SF-36

Convergent and divergent validity was assessed through Spearman rank correlations. Convergent validity was revealed by correlation values of more than 0.4 indicating meaningful association<sup>(14)</sup> for almost prior hypothesized pairs of domains and constructs from both measures (Table 2). The values ranged from 0.43 ['illness/ discomfort' (9-THAI) & BP (SF-36)] to 0.77 ['compared with last year' (9-THAI) & RHT (SF-36)]. However, a slightly low value of correlation (0.37)was found between 'self care' (9-THAI) & PF (SF-36) domains. Additionally, some reasonable correlations were found between non prior hypothesized pairs including 'social functions' (9-THAI) & RP, RE (SF-36), 'mobility' (9-THAI) & BP (SF-36), 'usual activities' (9-THAI) & VT (SF-36). Low correlations of domains that measured different aspects are shown in Table 2, for example a low correlation (0.24) of 'anxiety/ depressed' (9-THAI) & PF (SF-36), and these confirmed the divergent validity. For higher-order constructs, convergent validity was notified by the correlation of PHY & PCS (0.49) and correlation of MEN & MCS (0.56). Divergent validity was notified by lower correlation values of 0.41 (PHY & MCS) and 0.25 (MEN & PCS), however value of 0.41 indicated somewhat correlation to be of concern.

#### Concurrent validity: association with hematocrit level

Hematocrit level was positively associated with PHY and MEN (Table 3). Only MEN were significantly associated with the hematocrit level. An increment of 1% in hematocrit level associated with an increment of 0.05 unit in MEN score. The results supported the hypothesis, and added the evidence for concurrent validity.

# Concurrent validity: association with hospital admission

Patients who reported one or more hospitalization during the last year had average lower PHY and MEN scores (PHY: 25.5 versus 36.5, p < 0.001; MEN: 29.9 versus 32.8, p = 0.240). An increment of PHY scores significantly associated with a decreased likelihood of being hospitalized (Table 4). The association of hospitalization and MEN was not significant. The results further supported the concurrent validity of the measure.

#### Test-retest reliability

Two hundred and thirty nine patients of the 302 RRT patients (79.1%) were interviewed via telephone within approximately one month (31.9 + 2.1 days) after the first interview. Characteristics of patients who responded to the telephone interview were similar to those who did not, except for age. Those who did not

Characteristics		Total (1	1 = 302)	KT (n	= 133)	CAPD	(n = 62)	HD (n	= 107)
Male Age (year) <sup>(a)</sup> Under bachelor <sup>(a)</sup> Econtity income (Dolt/month)	[n (%)] [mean (SD)] [n (%)]	185 47.5 193	(61.3) (11.4) (63.9)	87 45.1 77	(65.4) (9.6) (57.9)	34 56.5 48	(54.8) (12.3) (77.4)	64 45.3 68	(59.8) (10.2) (63.6)
Family income (Ban/monu) < 10,000 – 20,000 20,000 – 30,000 > 30,000	[( \u03c6) 11]	58 52 86	(20.1) (31.9) (18.1) (29.9)	2 4 2 2 4 2 8	(20.9) (32.6) (18.6)	16 9 19	(28.6) (21.4) (16.1) (33.9)	15 38 19 31	(14.6) (36.9) (18.4) (30.1)
Diabetes comorbidity <sup>(a)</sup> Creatinine (mg%) <sup>(a)</sup> Hematocrit (%) <sup>(a)</sup> Self-reported hospitalization <sup>(a)</sup>	[n (%)] [median (IQR)] [mean (SD)] [n (%)]	o0 79 7.3 33.8 150	(2.7.7) (26.2) (11.2) (6.9) (49.7)	30 31 1.4 37.1 52	(21.3) (23.3) (0.8) (6.7) (39.1)	19 29 9.9 31.9 50	(4.65) (46.8) (4.6) (5.8) (80.6)	19 19 30.4 48	(17.8) (17.8) (5.7) (5.8) (44.9)
9-1HAJ Mobility <sup>(a)</sup> Self care <sup>(a)</sup> Usual activities <sup>(a)</sup> Illness/ Discomfort <sup>(a)</sup>	$egin{array}{l} {f n}^{(b)} \ (\%) \ (\%) \ {f n}^{(b)} \ (\%) \ $	146 212 155 99	(48.3) (70.2) (52.7) (32.8)	71 108 82 42	(53.4) (81.2) (62.6) (31.6)	19 34 16	(30.6) (54.8) (33.3) (25.8)	56 70 41	(52.3) (65.4) (50.9) (38.3)
Anxiety/ Depressed Cognition Social functions <sup>(a)</sup> 9-THAI physical scores (MEN) 9-THAI mental scores (MEN) SF-36	$\begin{bmatrix} n^{(b)} (\%) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n^{(b)} (\%) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} n^{(b)} (\%) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} median (IQR) \end{bmatrix} \\ \begin{bmatrix} median (IQR) \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$	123 117 159 36.4 34.0	(40.7) (38.7) (53.2) (28.6) (30.7)	54 52 84 38.3 35.4	(40.6) (39.1) (64.1) (20.7) (32.7)	28 24 30.4 35.4	(45.2) (38.7) (44.3) (27.9) (29.4)	41 41 39.3 32.4	(38.3) (38.3) (38.3) (44.9) (35.7) (32.7)
Physical functioning (PF) <sup>(a)</sup> Physical functioning (PF) <sup>(a)</sup> Role-physical (RP) <sup>(a)</sup> Bodily pain (BP) <sup>(a)</sup> General health (GH) <sup>(a)</sup> Vitality (VT) <sup>(a)</sup> Social functioning (SF) Role-emotional (RE) <sup>(a)</sup> Mental health (MH) <sup>(a)</sup> Physical component scores (PCS) <sup>(a)</sup> Mental component scores (MCS) <sup>(a)</sup>	[median (IQR)] [median (IQR)] [median (IQR)] [median (IQR)] [median (IQR)] [median (IQR)] [median (IQR)] [mean (SD)]	75.0 50.0 62.0 60.0 66.7 68.0 44.9	(30.0) (100.0) (28.0) (30.0) (37.5) (100.0) (8.1) (8.1)	85.0 75.0 52.0 65.0 66.7 66.7 46.5	(25.0) (50.0) (23.0) (23.0) (28.0) (28.0) (37.5) (66.7) (6.8) (6.8) (8.8)	55.0 25.0 61.5 35.0 45.0 75.0 33.3 64.0 64.0 43.5	$\begin{array}{c} (37.5) \\ (50.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (28.0) \\ (21.3) \\ (100.0) \\ (7.3) \\ (7.3) \end{array}$	75.0 25.0 55.0 75.0 75.0 64.0 41.0 42.8	(25.0) (75.0) (29.0) (29.0) (25.5) (25.5) (37.5) (100.0) (100.0) (7.7) (10.6)
Notes: Abbreviations; KT: kidney transplanta (a) p-value < 0.05, thus significant diff (b) Number (Percentage) of subjects re	tion, CAPD: continuous ference between groups. eported no problem at all	ambulatory .	peritoneal dia	ılysis, HD: h	emodialysis, l	SD: standar	d deviation, IC	QR: interqu	artile range

Table 1. Characteristics of participants

9-THAI domains &				SF-3	6 domai	ns & cor	nponents	8			
nigher order constructs	PF	RP	BP	GH	VT	SF	RE	ΜH	RHT	PCS	MCS
Mobility	0.45	0.44	0.48	0.25	0.38	0.22	0.30	0.26	0.16	0.50	0.24
Self care	0.37	0.40	0.35	0.24	0.29	0.32	0.35	0.36	0.15	0.37	0.35
Usual activities	0.46	0.48	0.40	0.32	0.43	0.30	0.46	0.36	0.17	0.46	0.41
Illness/ Discomfort	0.33	0.34	0.43	0.33	0.25	0.27	0.30	0.32	0.18	0.38	0.29
Anxiety/ Depressed	0.24	0.31	0.34	0.33	0.35	0.38	0.49	0.58	0.19	0.17	0.61
Cognition	0.18	0.17	0.28	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.28	0.31	0.03	0.15	0.36
Social functions	0.35	0.46	0.33	0.36	0.33	0.51	0.41	0.40	0.12	0.40	0.44
Compare with the last year	0.21	0.24	0.16	0.38	0.32	0.23	0.17	0.25	0.77	0.28	0.25
Compare with others	0.36	0.33	0.28	0.54	0.41	0.18	0.26	0.35	0.29	0.38	0.36
9-THAI physical (PHY)	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.37	0.38	0.41	0.43	0.40	0.19	0.49	0.41
9-THAI mental (MEN)	0.26	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.49	0.46	0.50	0.11	0.25	0.56

Table 2. Spearman rank correlation of 9-THAI and SF-36 domains and components (n = 255-302)

Notes: Abbreviations; PF: physical function, RP: role physical, BP: bodily pain, GH: general health, VT: vitality, SF: social function, RE: role emotional, MH: mental health, RHT: reported health transition, PCS: physical component scores, MCS: mental component scores

Bold number indicated hypothesized correlations for convergent validity

**Table 3.** Unstandardized regression coefficients of multiple linear regression using the hematocrit level as the dependent<br/>variable (N = 274)

Independent variables	β	95%	CI of β	p-value
		Lower	Upper	-
Male	0.36	-1.19	1.92	0.644
Age (year)	-0.01	-0.09	0.07	0.868
Under bachelor	-1.41	-2.93	0.10	0.068
Diabetes comorbidity	-0.81	-2.64	1.01	0.382
Creatinine (mg%)	-0.22	-0.39	-0.04	0.014
CAPD (Ref: KT)	-2.72	-5.53	0.08	0.057
HD (Ref: KT)	-3.93	-6.55	-1.32	0.003
Self-reported hospitalization	-0.76	-2.30	0.78	0.334
9-THAI physical scores	0.01	-0.03	0.05	0.650
9-THAI mental scores	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.028

Notes: Abbreviations; β: unstandardized regression coefficient, CAPD: continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis, KT: kidney transplantation, HD: hemodialysis

respond to the telephone interview were significantly older than those who did  $(51.0 \pm 11.9 \text{ versus } 46.6 \pm 11.1 \text{ years})$ .

Of the 239 patients, 99 patients reported better health, 105 patients reported stable health, and 35 patients reported worse health. Data from the 105 patients with stable health were used for assessing test-retest reliability. The intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) of PHY and MEN scores were 0.79 and 0.78, respectively. The ICC values of the two domains were satisfactory, though the values are not high.

#### Responsiveness

Data from the 99 patients who reported better health were used to assess the responsiveness of 9-THAI. Both PHY and MEN scores of these patients significantly increased at the second interview (p = 0.038 and 0.012, respectively). The responsiveness indices ranged from 0.18-0.34 (Table 5). Guyatt's responsiveness index gave the highest values. These

Independent variables	Adjusted odds ratio (OR)	95%CI	of OR	p-value
		Lower	Upper	
Male	0.76	0.43	1.33	0.338
Age (year)	1.01	0.98	1.04	0.557
Under bachelor	1.48	0.85	2.56	0.167
Diabetes comorbidity	1.08	0.56	2.12	0.812
Creatinine (mg%)	1.03	0.96	1.10	0.418
Hematocrit (%)	0.98	0.94	1.02	0.339
CAPD	3.00	1.02	8.83	0.046
HD	0.78	0.29	2.12	0.629
9-THAI physical scores	0.98	0.96	0.99	0.001
9-THAI mental scores	1.00	0.99	1.02	0.591

**Table 4.** Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) of the logistic regression using self-reported hospitalization in the last year as the<br/>dependent variable (N = 274)

Table 5. Mean and standard deviation of two assessments and responsiveness statistics of the better health transition patients (n = 97)

Demonstration			9-TH	IAI	
Responsiveness statistics		Physica	l scores	Menta	l scores
1 <sup>st</sup> assessment (1 <sup>st</sup> )	[Mean (SD)]	29.56	(24.21)	31.53	(20.50)
$2^{nd}$ assessment ( $2^{nd}$ )	[Mean (SD)]	33.94	(20.52)	36.91	(17.39)
Observed change (OC)	[Mean (SD) of $(2^{nd} - 1^{st})$ ]	4.38	(20.51)	5.38	(20.77)
Effect size (ES)	[OC/SD of 1 <sup>st</sup> ]	0.	18	0.2	26
Standardized response mean (SRM)	[OC/SD of OC]	0.	.21	0.2	26
Guyatt's responsiveness index (GRI)	[OC/SD of OC change among stable pati	ents] 0.	.28	0.	34

results supported the responsiveness of 9-THAI.

#### Discussion

The new generic health status, 9-THAI, has been confirmed of its validity, reliability, and responsiveness in the sample of patients on RRT in the present study. Results of concurrent validity with two clinical measures in the present study supported the clinical applicability of 9-THAI for future studies. Considering its brevity and satisfactory psychometric properties, 9-THAI is thus a practical tool to use as a self-reported health status measure in clinical practice.

For almost three decades of health status or Qol measure research, not only have numerous measures been developed, but they have also increasingly been administered in routine clinical practice. Therefore brief but comprehensive property of measures is a prime concern. The SF-36 measure is an example of a successful short version of measure, and the shorter versions of SF-36 such as SF-12, SF-8 are also available. EQ-5D<sup>(15)</sup>, the EuroQol measure consisting of 5 items and one global health rating (visual analog scale) is another example of a successful very brief generic health measure that has been widely used. Hence the development of 9-THAI follows the lessons learnt from brief and comprehensive properties of previous measures.

Results of the associations of 9-THAI and two clinical variables supported the clinical applicability of the measure. Increasing hematocrit levels were significantly associated with higher mental construct scores of 9-THAI. Thus decreasing mental construct scores might indicate mental problems especially the cognition problem due to anemia. Awareness of patients with anemia should be achieved to prevent its complications. Additionally, in the case of physical construct scores and hospitalization association, a thorough examination for physical health problems should be investigated to reduce hospitalization rate in patients on RRT. Therefore, 9-THAI might be an additional tool for assessing patient circumstances from their point of view.

Another consideration issue was the positive association of hematocrit level and PHY scores. This phenomenon was not unexpected, as there were evidences of such association<sup>(7)</sup>. When patients were classified into 2 groups according to guideline of anemia work up initiation (hematocrit level; female: < 33% versus  $\geq$  33%, male: < 37% versus  $\geq$  37%)<sup>(7)</sup>. Average PHY scores of anemia tendency group were significantly lower (35.0 ± 33.5 versus 42.5 ± 22.2, p-value = 0.019). However, the strong relation of anemia and cognition domain diminished the association of PHY scores and hematocrit level in multiple linear regression analysis.

It should be noted that descriptive health status scores of patients on CAPD in the present study were lower than that of patients on HD or KT, however this resulted from the characteristics of CAPD group. The analysis adjusting for gender, age, diabetes comorbidity, hospitalization history, creatinine and hematocrit level (not presented here) found no statistically significant differences between health status scores of CAPD and HD group, and this result was consistent with previous studies(16-17). All patients on HD in the present study were on the KT waiting list, thus their health status was considered higher than ordinary patients on HD. As stringent criteria of KT waiting list result in younger and healthier patients with minor anemia condition. For these reasons, known group validity based on different modalities was considered not appropriate to propose in the present study.

There were some consideration issues from correlations of 9-THAI and SF-36. A correlation of PHY (9-THAI) & MCS (SF-36) was higher than expectation for divergent validity. This might have arisen from a high correlation of 'usual activities' (9-THAI) & RE (SF-36). In the previous SF-36 Thai version study, the authors suggested the cautious interpretation in RE domain among the Thai general population due to differences in culture context<sup>(9)</sup>. Consequently, the high correlation between PHY (9-THAI) & MCS (SF-36) might be due to the problem of RE domain that should be further studied. However, the validity of 9-THAI in patients on RRT was confirmed by overall results.

#### Conclusion

Although SF-36 is widely used in outcome research for evaluation of RRT, results from the present

study showed that 9-THAI can be used as an alternative outcome measure with the advantage of its simplicity. The universal coverage health policy of the Thai Ministry of Public Health does not currently cover RRT due to its high cost. The revision policy will move towards more coverage to all RRT modalities in the very near future. The brevity of 9-THAI and availability of national norms, make it an ideal core in a battery of measures. Thus, 9-THAI will be a promising tool to be used in evaluation of the extended policy.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Mrs. Jirawan Boonperm and the competent staff of the National Statistical Office of Thailand who developed the elegant 9-THAI and provided the National Health and Welfare 2003 data that were used in this study.

#### References

- Nicolucci A, Procaccini DA. Why do we need outcomes research in end stage renal disease? J Nephrol 2000; 13: 401-4.
- Patrick DL, Chiang YP. Measurement of health outcomes in treatment effectiveness evaluations: conceptual and methodological challenges. Med Care 2000; 38: II14-II25.
- 3. Higginson IJ, Carr AJ. Measuring quality of life: using quality of life measures in the clinical setting. BMJ 2001; 322: 1297-300.
- Tangcharoensathien V, Cheawchanwattana A, Limwattananon C, Vasawit J, Boonperm J, Lerkiatbundit S. Health status report among Thai population: analysis of the health and welfare survey 2003. J Health Sc 2006; 15: 3.
- Ware JE, Snow KK, Kosinski M, Gandek B. SF-36 health survey manual and interpretation guide. Boston, MA: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1993.
- 6. Garratt A, Schmidt L, Mackintosh A, Fitzpatrick R. Quality of life measurement: bibliographic study of patient assessed health outcome measures. BMJ 2002; 324: 1417.
- IV. NKF-K/DOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines for Anemia of Chronic Kidney Disease: update 2000. Am J Kidney Dis 2001; 37: S182-S238.
- Lowrie EG, Curtin RB, LePain N, Schatell D. Medical outcomes study short form-36: a consistent and powerful predictor of morbidity and mortality in dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2003; 41: 1286-92.
- 9. Leurmarnkul W, Meetam P. Development of a quality of life questionnaire: SF-36 (Thai version).

Thai J Pharm Sci 2000; 24: 92-111.

- Cheawchanwattana A, Limwattananon C, Limwattananon S, Lerkiatbundit S, Tangcharoensathien V. A confirmatory factor analysis of a new health status measure of Thai general population. Abstracts of the 12th Annual Conference of the International Society for Quality of Life Research, October 19-22, 2005, San Francisco, California, USA. Qual Life Res 2005; 14: 1977-2154.
- Streiner DL, Norman GR. Health measurement scales a practical guide to their development and use. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. New York: Oxford; 2003.
- Ware JE, Kosinski M, Keller SD, editors. SF-36 Physical & mental health summary scales: a user's manual. Boston, MA: The Health Institute, New England Medical Center; 1994.
- 13. Stucki G, Liang MH, Fossel AH, Katz JN. Relative responsiveness of condition-specific and generic

health status measures in degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis. J Clin Epidemiol 1995; 48: 1369-78.

- Portney LG, Watkins MP. Foundations of clinical research applications to practice. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. NJ: Prentice-Hall; 2000.
- Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. Ann Med 2001; 33: 337-43.
- Diaz-Buxo JA, Lowrie EG, Lew NL, Zhang H, Lazarus JM. Quality-of-life evaluation using Short Form 36: comparison in hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis patients. Am J Kidney Dis 2000; 35: 293-300.
- Wu AW, Fink NE, Marsh-Manzi JV, Meyer KB, Finkelstein FO, Chapman MM, et al. Changes in quality of life during hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis treatment: generic and disease specific measures. J Am Soc Nephrol 2004; 15: 743-53.

### ความตรงและความเที่ยงของแบบวัดคุณภาพชีวิตชนิดใหม่ที่เหมาะสมในทางปฏิบัติกับผู้ป่วยที่ ได้รับการรักษาทดแทนไต

### อารีวรรณ เชี่ยวชาญวัฒนา, จุฬาภรณ์ ลิมวัฒนานนท์, ซินเธีย กรอส, สุพล ลิมวัฒนานนท์, วิโรจน์ ตั้งเจริญเสถียร, ชลธิป พงศ์สกุล, ทวี ศิริวงศ์

**วัตถุประสงค**์: แบบวัดคุณภาพชีวิตชนิดใหม่นี้เป็นส่วนหนึ่งของแบบสัมภาษณ์ที่ใช้ในการสำรวจอนามัยและสวัสดิการ พ.ศ. 2546 ซึ่งมีแนวโน้มเหมาะนำมาใช้ในทางคลินิก เนื่องจากเป็นแบบวัดที่กระชับ มีความตรงและความเที่ยงในกลุ่ม ประชากรปกติ ตลอดจนมีค่าคะแนนที่แปลความหมายได้ง่าย โดยการเปรียบเทียบกับคะแนนเฉลี่ยของประชากรปกติ แบบวัดชนิดใหม่นี้ มีคำถาม 9 ข้อ จึงให้ชื่อว่า 9-THAI (9-item Thai Health status Assessment Instrument) การศึกษานี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อศึกษาความตรงและความเที่ยงของ 9-THAI ในผู้ป่วยที่ได้รับการรักษาทดแทนไต **วัสดุและวิธีการ**: การศึกษานี้ทำในผู้ป่วยนอกที่ได้รับการรักษาทดแทนไต ณ โรงพยาบาลศรีนครินทร์ระหว่างเดือน มีนาคม ถึงพฤษภาคม พ.ศ. 2548 จำนวน 302 ราย โดยใช้แบบวัด SF-36 เป็นแบบวัดคู่ขนานในการประเมิน ความตรงแบบ convergent และ divergent, และใช้ตัวแปรทางคลินิก ได้แก่ ความเข้มข้นของเลือดและประวัติ การเข้าพักรักษาตัวในโรงพยาบาลในรอบปที่ผ่านมา ในการประเมินความตรงแบบ concurrent และทำการ ประเมินความเที่ยงแบบ test-retest โดยการประเมินซ้ำหลังจากประเมินครั้งแรกเป็นเวลาหนึ่งเดือน นอกจากนี้ ได้ศึกษา responsiveness ของ 9-THAI เฉพาะในผูปว่นที่ประเมินตนเองว่ามีการเปลี่ยนแปลงไปในทางที่ดีขึ้น

**ผลการศึกษา**: พบว่าค่าสหสัมพันธ์ที่แสดงถึงความสอดคล้องระหว่างมิติต่างๆ ของ 9-THAI และ SF-36 เป็นไปตาม สมมติฐานที่ตั้งไว้ คะแนน 9-THAI ยังสัมพันธ์กับความเข้มข้นของเลือดและประวัติการเข้าพักรักษาตัวในโรงพยาบาล ผลดังกล่าวข้างต้นแสดงว่า 9-THAI มีความตรงในการประเมินคุณภาพชีวิตของผู้ป่วย และในผู้ป่วยที่ประเมินว่าตนเอง มีสุขภาพไม่เปลี่ยนแปลงพบว่า ค่าสถิติที่แสดงถึงความเที่ยง (intraclass correlation coefficient) ของคะแนน 9-THAI อยู่ในระดับที่น่าพอใจ นอกจากนี้ ผลการศึกษาในผู้ป่วยที่ประเมินตนเองว่ามีการเปลี่ยนแปลงของสุขภาพ ไปในทางที่ดีขึ้น พบว่า คะแนน 9-THAI มีค่าสูงขึ้นอย่างมีนัยสำคัญ ซึ่งสอดคล้องกับการประเมินตนเองของผู้ป่วย ดังนั้น จึงแสดงว่า 9-THAI มีคุณสมบัติ responsiveness ด้วย

**สรุป**: 9-THAI เป็นแบบวัดที่มีความเที่ยงตรง และเหมาะสมในการนำมาใช้เป็นแกนของเครื่องมือวัดคุณภาพชีวิต ในทางคลินิกสำหรับผู*้*ป่วยที่ได้รับการรักษาทดแทนไต

Appendix 1. Details of questions in 9-THAI (1	Chai	version and English translation version)	
Domain		Thai	English Translation
Mobility	1.	ระหว่างหนึ่งเดือนก่อนหน้านี้ ท่านมีความยากุลำบากในการ เดลือนไหว มือ, แขน, ขา, ลำตัว หรือ ทั้งรางกาย หรือใน ถามี อยูในระดับใด	<ol> <li>During the past 1 month, have you had difficulty with the mobility of your hand, limb, torso or the whole body or not? If so, to what level?</li> </ol>
Self Care	~	ระหว่างหนึ่งเคือนก่อนหน้านี้ ท่านมีความยากลำบากในการ ดูแลตัวเองหรือไม ถามี อยู่ในระดับใตุ (การดูแลตัวเอง เซน การแตงตัว, การทำความสะอาดรางกาย, การขับถาย เป็นตน)	2. During the past 1 month, have you had difficulty in self care or not? If so, to what level? (The example of self care are dressing, cleaning the body, getting rid of waste from the body, etc.)
Usual Activities	ς. Υ	ระหว่างหนึ่งเดือนกู่อนหน้านี้ ท่านมีความยากลำบาก ในการทำงานนอกบาน หรือการทำงานบานหรือไม ถามี อยู่ในระดับใด	<ol> <li>During the past 1 month, have you had difficulty in doing work, both outside the home or housework, or not? If so, to what level?</li> </ol>
Illness/ Discomfort	4.	ระหว่างหนึ่งเคือนก่อนหน้านี้ ท่านเจ็บปวย หรือรู้สึกใม่สบาย หรือใน ถานี อยูในระดับใด	4. During the past 1 month, have you had any sickness or not felt very well, or not? If so, to what level?
Anxiety/ Depressed	5.	ระหว่างหนึ่งเดือนก่อนหน้านี้ ท่านมีความรูสึกหดหู่ เศรา เสียใจ หรือวิตกกังวล หรือใน ถามี อยู่ในระดับใด	5. During the past 1 month, have you felt depressed, blue, or anxious, or not? If so, to what level?
Cognition	O	ระหว่างหนึ่งเดือนก่อนหน้านี้ ท่านมีความยากุลำบากใน การตั้งสมาธิ หรือจุคจำสิ่งตางๆ หรือใน ถามี อยูในระดับใด	<ol> <li>During the past 1 month, have you had difficulty in con- centrating or remembering, or not? If so, to what level?</li> </ol>
Social Functions	7.	ระหว่างหนึ่งเคือนก่อนหน้านี้ ท่านมีความยากลำบากในการ รวมกิจกรรมกับบุคคลอื่น หรือ การเขารวมในสังคมชุมชน หรือไม ถามี อยู่ในระดับใด	7. During the past 1 month, have you had difficulty in par- ticipating with others in activities or in social/ community activities, or not? If so, to what level?
Global Question 1:Compare with Last Year	ω.	เมื่อเปรียบเทียบสุขภาพของท่านในวันนี้ กับสุขภาพของท่าน เมื่อปีที่แลว วันนี้เป็นอยางไร	8. When you compare your health today with your health in the last year, how would you rate your health?
Global Question 1:Compare with Others	<i>б</i>	เมื่อเปรียบเทียบสุขภาพของท่าม กับผู้อื่นที่มีอายุ เพค ฐานะ หนาที่ การงาน และความเป็นอยู่ที่คลายคลึงกับทาน แต่ไม่มีโรคประจำตัว ทานเห็นวาสุขภาพของทานเป็นอยางไร	9. When you compare your health with others' health who are similar to you in age, gender, social and economic sta- tus, type of employment, and living style, how would you rate your health?
Note. Response choices for item 1-7 are 'very : Response choices for item 8-9 are 'much	seve h wo	re', 'severe', 'moderate', 'mild', and 'not at all'. rse', 'a little bit worse', 'the same as', 'a little bit better	r', and 'much better'.